## Generating AdS string solutions

## Antal Jevicki, Kewang Jin, Chrysostomos Kalousios and Anastasia Volovich

Department of Physics, Brown University,<br>Box 1843, Providence, RI 02912, U.S.A.<br>E-mail: antal@het.brown.edu, jin@het.brown.edu, ckalousi@het.brown.edy, nastja@het.brown.edu

Abstract: We use a Pohlmeyer type reduction to generate classical string solutions in AdS spacetime. In this framework we describe a correspondence between spikes in $A d S_{3}$ and soliton profiles of the sinh-Gordon equation. The null cusp string solution and its closed spinning string counterpart are related to the sinh-Gordon vacuum. We construct classical string solutions corresponding to sinh-Gordon solitons, antisolitons and breathers by the inverse scattering technique. The breather solutions can also be reproduced by the sigma model dressing method.

Keywords: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Bosonic Strings, Integrable Field Theories.

## Contents

1. Introduction 11
2. Pohlmeyer reduction for AdS strings
2.1 Constructing string solutions from sinh-Gordon solutions \#
3. Review of sinh-Gordon solutions 5
4. String solutions 6
4.1 Vacuum 同
4.2 Long strings in $A d S_{3}$ as sinh-Gordon solitons
4.3 One-soliton solutions 8
4.4 Two-soliton solutions 10
5. AdS dressing method 11
5.1 Breather solution 12
A. Conventions 14

## 1. Introduction

Classical string solutions in $\operatorname{Ad} S_{5} \times S^{5}$ have provided a lot of data in exploring various aspects of the AdS/CFT correspondence (see [17-7 for review). Recently Alday and Maldacena gave a prescription for computing gluon scattering amplitudes using AdS/CFT 5 . The prescription is equivalent to finding a classical string solution with boundary conditions determined by the gluon momenta. The value of the scattering amplitude is then related to the area of this solution. Using this prescription and the solution originally constructed in [6] they found agreement with the conjectured iteration relations for perturbative multiloop amplitudes for four gluons [7-11]. Several recent papers [12-15] have studied various aspects of the classical string solutions (see [16]-[32] for other developments). For the case of four and five gluons the results are fixed by dual conformal symmetry [33, [7]. For a large number of gluons the amplitude at strong coupling was computed in [33] and it disagreed with the corresponding limit of the gauge theory guess [ $[8]$. In order to test the multiloop iterative structure of gauge theory amplitudes it would be very important to construct the string solution for six gluons and more.

Classical string theory on $R \times S^{2}$ (or $R \times S^{3}$ ) is equivalent to classical sine-Gordon theory (or complex sine-Gordon theory) via Pohlmeyer reduction [34. De Vega and Sanchez showed that similarly string theory on $A d S_{2}, A d S_{3}$ and $A d S_{4}$ is equivalent to Liouville
theory, sinh-Gordon theory and $B_{2}$ Toda theories respectively [35-39]. Moreover, very recently a sine-Gordon-like action has been proposed for the full Green-Schwarz superstring in $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ [40, 41]. Classical solitons in both theories should be in one to one correspondence. Indeed, giant magnon solutions on $R \times S^{2}$ and $R \times S^{3}$ map to one soliton solution in sine-Gordon and complex sine-Gordon respectively (42, 43].

Integrability of string theory on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ allows the use of algebraic methods to construct solutions of the nonlinear equations of motion. Given a vacuum solution of an integrable nonlinear equation, the dressing method provides a way to construct a new solution which also satisfies the equations of motion by using an associated linear system (44, 45). In 46, 47] the dressing method was used to construct classical string solutions describing scattering and bound states of magnons on $R \times S^{5}$ and various subsectors, such as $R \times S^{2}$ and $R \times S^{3}$, by dressing the vacuum corresponding to a pointlike string moving around the equator of the sphere at the speed of light. In 48] it was used to construct solutions describing the scattering of spiky strings on a sphere [49] by starting with a different vacuum, a static string wrapped around the equator of the sphere.

In [12] the applicability of the dressing method to the problem of finding Euclidean minimal area worldsheets in AdS was demonstrated. We took as a vacuum the null cusp string solution constructed in [6] (which was later generalized and given a new interpretation in (5]). We dressed this vacuum and found new minimal area surfaces in $A d S_{3}$ and $A d S_{5}$. These solutions generically trace out timelike curves on the boundary, and might be relevant to studies of the propagation of massive particles in gauge theory. The vacuum solution [5, 6] can be related by analytic continuation and a conformal transformation to a closed string energy eigenstate (an infinite string limit of GKP string [1], [15]). In this paper we outline the dressing method for Minkowskian worldsheets in AdS and construct new string solutions by starting with an infinite closed spinning string. We also show that the spikes of the long GKP string can be mapped to sinh-Gordon solitons at the boundary of AdS.

We use the inverse scattering method to construct string solutions corresponding to sinh-Gordon solitons, antisolitons, breathers and soliton scattering solutions. The sigma model solutions can be constructed in terms of wavefunctions of the Pohlmeyer reduced model ${ }^{1}$ [51. The advantage of this method is that it allows us to construct a string solution starting from any sinh-Gordon solution. All one has to do is to solve a linear system with coefficients depending on the chosen sinh-Gordon solution. Notice that in the dressing method one is also solving a linear system, but the difference is that in the dressing method the coefficients of the system depend on the chosen vacuum solution of the string equations, whereas in this method the coefficients depend only on the sinh-Gordon or reduced system solution. This is advantageous because any sinh-Gordon solution is generally simpler than the corresponding sigma model solution.

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we review the Pohlmeyer reduction and inverse scattering method for constructing string solutions from sinh-Gordon solutions.

[^0]In section three various sinh-Gordon solutions are reviewed. In section four explicit string solutions are constructed and the physical meanings are discussed. It would be interesting to understand the physics of these new string solutions better. In section five we reproduce the breather solutions by the dressing method.

## 2. Pohlmeyer reduction for AdS strings

In this section we review the Pohlmeyer reduction for string theory in $A d S_{d}$ space following [35]. We also review how to write down string solutions in terms of the wavefunctions of the sinh-Gordon inverse problem [51].

We parameterize $A d S_{d}$ with $d+1$ embedding coordinates $\vec{Y}$ subject to the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{Y} \cdot \vec{Y} \equiv-Y_{-1}^{2}-Y_{0}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+Y_{d-1}^{2}=-1 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal gauge equation of motion for strings in $A d S_{d}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}-(\partial \vec{Y} \cdot \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}) \vec{Y}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the Virasoro constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \vec{Y} \cdot \partial \vec{Y}=\bar{\partial} \vec{Y} \cdot \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use coordinates $z$ and $\bar{z}$ related to Minkowski worldsheet coordinates $\tau$ and $\sigma$ by $z=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-\tau), \bar{z}=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+\tau)$ with $\partial=\partial_{\sigma}-\partial_{\tau}, \bar{\partial}=\partial_{\sigma}+\partial_{\tau}$.

Now let us show the equivalence of the string equations (2.2), (2.3) to the generalized sinh-Gordon model. To make the reduction we first choose a basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}=\left(\vec{Y}, \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}, \partial \vec{Y}, \vec{B}_{4}, \cdots, \vec{B}_{d+1}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2 \cdots d+1$ and the vectors $\vec{B}_{k}$ with $k=4,5 \cdots d+1$ are orthonormal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{B}_{k} \cdot \vec{B}_{l}=\delta_{k l}, \quad \vec{B}_{k} \cdot \vec{Y}=\vec{B}_{k} \cdot \partial \vec{Y}=\vec{B}_{k} \cdot \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}=0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & \equiv \alpha(z, \bar{z})=\ln (\partial \vec{Y} \cdot \bar{\partial} \vec{Y}),  \tag{2.6}\\
u_{k} & \equiv u_{k}(z, \bar{z})=\vec{B}_{k} \cdot \bar{\partial}^{2} \vec{Y},  \tag{2.7}\\
v_{k} & \equiv v_{k}(z, \bar{z})=\vec{B}_{k} \cdot \partial^{2} \vec{Y}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=4,5 \cdots d+1$, the equation of motion for $\alpha$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \bar{\partial} \alpha-e^{\alpha}-e^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=4}^{d+1} u_{i} v_{i}=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is called the generalized sinh-Gordon model. We can find the evolution of the vectors $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ by expressing the derivatives of the basis (2.4) in terms of the basis itself. In
$d=2, u=v=0$ and the equation (2.9) becomes the Liouville equation. In $d=3$ and $d=4$ it can be reduced to sinh-Gordon and $B_{2}$ Toda models respectively 35].

Now let us discuss the $d=3$ case in more detail. For the case of $A d S_{3}$, one can write an explicit formula for $\vec{B}_{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{4 a} \equiv e^{-\alpha} \epsilon_{a b c d} Y_{b} \partial Y_{c} \bar{\partial} Y_{d} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d=1,2,3,4$ and $\epsilon_{a b c d}$ is the antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor. The equations of motion can then be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} e_{i}=A_{i j}(z, \bar{z}) e_{j}, \quad \partial e_{i}=B_{i j}(z, \bar{z}) e_{j} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.12}\\
0 & \bar{\partial} \alpha & 0 & u \\
e^{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -u e^{-\alpha} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
e^{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \partial \alpha & v \\
0 & -v e^{-\alpha} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The integrability condition $\partial A-\bar{\partial} B+[A, B]=0$ implies $u=u(\bar{z}), v=v(z)$ and $\partial \bar{\partial} \alpha-$ $e^{\alpha}-u v e^{-\alpha}=0$. We can make a change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(z, \bar{z})=\hat{\alpha}(z, \bar{z})+\frac{1}{2} \ln (-u(\bar{z}) v(z)) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to bring the equation (2.9) to a standard sinh-Gordon form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \bar{\partial} \hat{\alpha}-2 \sqrt{-u v} \sinh \hat{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Constructing string solutions from sinh-Gordon solutions

In this section we use the Pohlmeyer reduction to express solutions of the equations (2.2), (2.3) in terms of solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation (2.9) 51. The idea is to first rewrite the matrices $A_{i j}$ and $B_{i j}$ which appear in (2.11) in a manifestly $\mathrm{SO}(2,2)$ symmetric way. Then recalling that $\mathrm{SO}(2,2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ one can expand $A_{i j}$ and $B_{i j}$ in terms of $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ generators. Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{4} \bar{\partial} \alpha-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) \\
-\frac{i}{4} \bar{\partial} \alpha-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.15}\\
& A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & -\frac{i}{4} \partial \alpha+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) \\
\frac{i}{4} \partial \alpha+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.16}\\
& B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{4} \bar{\partial} \alpha-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) \\
-\frac{i}{4} \bar{\partial} \alpha-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(u e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.17}\\
& B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & -\frac{i}{4} \partial \alpha+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) \\
\frac{i}{4} \partial \alpha+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}-e^{\alpha / 2}\right) & \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(v e^{-\alpha / 2}+e^{\alpha / 2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

we can rewrite equations (2.11) in terms of two unknown complex spinors $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $\psi=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)^{T}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\partial} \phi=A_{1} \phi, & \partial \phi=A_{2} \phi \\
\bar{\partial} \psi=B_{1} \psi, & \partial \psi=B_{2} \psi \tag{2.20}
\end{array}
$$

The spinors $\phi$ and $\psi$ are normalized $\phi^{\dagger} \phi=\phi_{1}^{*} \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}^{*} \phi_{2}=\psi^{\dagger} \psi=\psi_{1}^{*} \psi_{1}-\psi_{2}^{*} \psi_{2}=1$. In other words, given a solution $\alpha(z, \bar{z}), u(\bar{z})$ and $v(z)$ of the sinh-Gordon equation, we can find $\phi$ and $\psi$ such that they solve the above linear system. Then the string solution is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{1} & \equiv Y_{-1}+i Y_{0}=\phi_{1}^{*} \psi_{1}-\phi_{2}^{*} \psi_{2},  \tag{2.21}\\
Z_{2} & \equiv Y_{1}+i Y_{2}=\phi_{2}^{*} \psi_{1}^{*}-\phi_{1}^{*} \psi_{2}^{*} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

This formula follows from the isomorphism between $\mathrm{SO}(2,2)$ and the product of two copies of $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$ parametrized by the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\phi_{1} & \phi_{2}^{*} \\ \phi_{2} & \phi_{1}^{*}\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\psi_{1} & \psi_{2}^{*} \\ \psi_{2} & \psi_{1}^{*}\end{array}\right)$.

## 3. Review of sinh-Gordon solutions

The sinh-Gordon equation (2.14) with $u=2, v=-2$ has a vacuum solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\alpha}_{0}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \alpha_{0}=\ln 2 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one-soliton solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s, \bar{s}}=\ln 2 \pm \ln \left(\tanh ^{2} \gamma(\sigma-v \tau)\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is the velocity of the solitons and $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{1-v^{2}}$.
We can also consider solutions periodic in $\sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s, \bar{s}}^{\prime}=\ln 2 \pm \ln \left(\tan ^{2} \gamma(\sigma-v \tau)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multi-soliton solutions can be constructed via the Bäcklund transformation. If we call the plus solution of (3.2) soliton and the minus solution antisoliton, the two-(anti)soliton solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s,, \bar{s} \bar{s}}=\ln 2 \pm \ln \left[\frac{v \cosh X-\cosh T}{v \cosh X+\cosh T}\right]^{2}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=2 \gamma \sigma, T=2 v \gamma \tau$, and the soliton-antisoliton solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s \bar{s}}=\ln 2 \pm \ln \left[\frac{v \sinh X-\sinh T}{v \sinh X+\sinh T}\right]^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the solutions are in the center of mass frame with $v_{1}=-v_{2}=v$.
If we analytically continue the soliton-antisoliton solution and take $v$ to be imaginary, $v=i w$, we get the breather solution of the sinh-Gordon system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B}=\ln 2 \pm \ln \left[\frac{w \sinh X_{B}-\sin T_{B}}{w \sinh X_{B}+\sin T_{B}}\right]^{2}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{B}=2 \sigma / \sqrt{1+w^{2}}$ and $T_{B}=2 w \tau / \sqrt{1+w^{2}}$. In order to make the center mass move with velocity $v_{c}$, one can make a boost by replacing $\sigma \rightarrow \gamma_{c}\left(\sigma-v_{c} \tau\right)$ and $\tau \rightarrow \gamma_{c}\left(\tau-v_{c} \sigma\right)$, where $\gamma_{c}=1 / \sqrt{1-v_{c}^{2}}$.

While both signs in the above solutions are fine for the sinh-Gordon theory, only one of them will lead to non-singular solution for the AdS string.


Figure 1: The vacuum solution in (a) Minkowskian and (b) Euclidean worldsheet plotted in $A d S_{3}$ coordinates. (c) Top view of Minkowskian vacuum solution. The boundary of the worldsheet touches the boundary of AdS space.

## 4. String solutions

### 4.1 Vacuum

Now let us look at some examples. Starting with the sinh-Gordon vacuum $\alpha_{0}=\ln 2$, the results of solving the linear system (2.19), (2.20) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=e^{-i \tau} \quad \phi_{2}=0 \quad \psi_{1}=\cosh \sigma \quad \psi_{2}=-\sinh \sigma \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Minkowskian worldsheet solution is given by (see figure 11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}=e^{i \tau} \cosh \sigma,  \tag{4.2}\\
& Z_{2}=e^{i \tau} \sinh \sigma \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the infinite string limit of spinning string [1].
The Euclidean worldsheet solution is obtained by making the change $\tau \rightarrow-i \tau$. Then $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{2}$ become imaginary, thus effectively exchanging places. The Euclidean vacuum solution reads

$$
\vec{Y}_{E}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cosh \sigma \cosh \tau  \tag{4.4}\\
\sinh \sigma \sinh \tau \\
\sinh \sigma \cosh \tau \\
\cosh \sigma \sinh \tau
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This is the solution found in [6] which was used by the authors of [5] to calculate the scattering amplitude for four gluons.

The energy and angular momentum can be calculated after we introduce the cutoff $\Lambda \gg 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d \sigma \cosh ^{2} \sigma \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4 \pi} e^{2 \Lambda}  \tag{4.5}\\
S & =\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d \sigma \sinh ^{2} \sigma \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4 \pi} e^{2 \Lambda}  \tag{4.6}\\
E-S & =\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d \sigma \sim \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \ln \frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} S \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which is exactly the result of [1].

### 4.2 Long strings in $A d S_{3}$ as sinh-Gordon solitons

Consider the GKP spinning string solution found in [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}=e^{i \tau} \cosh \rho(\sigma)  \tag{4.8}\\
& Z_{2}=e^{i \omega \tau} \sinh \rho(\sigma) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\sigma)=-i \operatorname{am}\left(i \sigma \mid 1-\omega^{2}\right), \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and am the Jacobi amplitude function. In the infinite string limit $\omega \rightarrow 1$ this solution reduces to (4.2), (4.3). The corresponding sinh-Gordon solution with $u v=-4 \omega^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\ln \left(2{\rho^{\prime}}^{2}\right)=\ln \left(2 \operatorname{dn}^{2}\left(i \sigma \mid 1-\omega^{2}\right)\right), \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dn is the Jacobi elliptic function.
Taking the (4.10) solution $\rho^{\prime 2}=\cosh ^{2} \rho-\omega^{2} \sinh ^{2} \rho$ we can expand $\rho$ near one of spikes (turning points of the string) and let $\omega=1+2 \eta$, where $\eta \ll 1$, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime 2} \sim e^{2 \rho}\left(e^{-2 \rho}-\eta\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting $u=e^{-\rho}$ the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime 2} \sim u^{2}-\eta . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose the location of the spike to be at $\sigma=\sigma_{0}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\sigma)=-\ln \left(\sqrt{\eta} \cosh \left(\sigma-\sigma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can use the map (2.6) to find the sinh-Gordon solution corresponding to this spinning string

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\ln \left(2 \rho^{\prime 2}\right)=\ln \left(2 \tanh ^{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the one-soliton solution to the sinh-Gordon equation. Therefore, the long string limit of the spinning string solution [1] itself is a two-soliton configuration of the sinh-Gordon system and the solitons are located near the boundary of AdS.

### 4.3 One-soliton solutions

Let us describe the method of constructing string solutions corresponding to one-soliton sinh-Gordon solution in detail. Start with the sinh-Gordon solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s}=\ln 2+\ln \left(\tanh ^{2} \sigma\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices entering into the linear system (2.19), (2.20) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma & (i-1) \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma \\
-(i+1) \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma & i \operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.17}\\
A_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i \operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma & -(i+1) \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma \\
(i-1) \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma & -i \operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.18}\\
B_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma & i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma-\operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma \\
-i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma-\operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma & i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.19}\\
B_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma & -i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma-\operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma \\
i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma-\operatorname{coth} 2 \sigma & -i \operatorname{csch} 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The spinors that solve the linear system are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}=e^{-i \tau} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh \sigma\right)  \tag{4.21}\\
& \phi_{2}=-e^{-i \tau} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh \sigma\right)  \tag{4.22}\\
& \psi_{1}=(\tau+i) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \sinh 2 \sigma\right)-\tau \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \sinh 2 \sigma\right)  \tag{4.23}\\
& \psi_{2}=-(\tau+i) \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \sinh 2 \sigma\right)+\tau \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \sinh 2 \sigma\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we use (2.21), (2.22) to find the corresponding string solution (see figure 2)

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{1}^{s} & =\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2 \sqrt{2} \cosh \sigma}(2 \tau+i(\cosh 2 \sigma+2))  \tag{4.25}\\
Z_{2}^{s} & =\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2 \sqrt{2} \cosh \sigma}(-2 \tau-i \cosh 2 \sigma) \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the Lorentz invariance, we can always boost the solution as $\sigma \rightarrow \gamma(\sigma-v \tau), \tau \rightarrow$ $\gamma(\tau-v \sigma)$. Notice this differs from the magnon case, where the boost symmetry of sineGordon translates into a non-obvious symmetry on the string side 42.

The Euclidean worldsheet solution is obtained by making the changes $\tau \rightarrow-i \tau$. Then $Y_{-1}$ and $Y_{1}$ become imaginary, thus effectively exchanging places. The Euclidean onesoliton solution reads

$$
\vec{Y}_{E}^{s}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \cosh \sigma}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \tau \cosh \tau-\sinh \tau \cosh 2 \sigma  \tag{4.27}\\
-2 \tau \sinh \tau+\cosh \tau(\cosh 2 \sigma+2) \\
-2 \tau \cosh \tau+\sinh \tau(\cosh 2 \sigma+2) \\
2 \tau \sinh \tau-\cosh \tau \cosh 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure 2: The one-soliton solution in (a) Minkowskian worldsheet plotted in $A d S_{3}$ coordinates. (b) Top view of the Minkowskian one-soliton solution. Please note the curvature of the string changes with the evolution of time.

One can easily compute the energy and angular momentum

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d \sigma \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{16 \pi \cosh ^{2} \sigma}\left(1+8 \tau^{2}+4 \cosh 2 \sigma+\cosh 4 \sigma\right) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{8} e^{2 \Lambda}+\tau^{2}\right),  \tag{4.28}\\
& S=\int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d \sigma \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{16 \pi \cosh ^{2} \sigma}\left(1+8 \tau^{2}-4 \cosh 2 \sigma+\cosh 4 \sigma\right) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{8} e^{2 \Lambda}+\tau^{2}\right) . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

If we neglect the $\tau$ dependence since the exponential term is much larger than the square term, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E-S=\int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi} \cosh 2 \sigma \operatorname{sech}^{2} \sigma d \sigma \sim \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \ln \frac{8 \pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} S . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy is not conserved because there is momentum flow at the asymptotic ends of the string and the string itself is not closed.

Similarly, the one-antisoliton string solution corresponding to $\alpha_{\bar{s}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{1}^{\bar{s}} & =\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2 \sqrt{2} \sinh \sigma}(2 \tau-i \cosh 2 \sigma)  \tag{4.31}\\
Z_{2}^{\bar{s}} & =\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2 \sqrt{2} \sinh \sigma}(-2 \tau+i(\cosh 2 \sigma-2)) \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

whereas the periodic in $\sigma$ string solutions mapping to $\alpha_{s}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{\bar{s}}^{\prime}$ are respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{Y}_{s}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \cos \sigma}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \tau \cosh \tau-\sinh \tau \cos 2 \sigma \\
2 \tau \sinh \tau-\cosh \tau(\cos 2 \sigma+2) \\
2 \tau \cosh \tau-\sinh \tau(\cos 2 \sigma+2) \\
-2 \tau \sinh \tau+\cosh \tau \cos 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.33}\\
\vec{Y}_{\bar{s}}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \sin \sigma}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \tau \cosh \tau+\sinh \tau \cos 2 \sigma \\
2 \tau \sinh \tau+\cosh \tau(\cos 2 \sigma-2) \\
-2 \tau \cosh \tau-\sinh \tau(\cos 2 \sigma-2) \\
2 \tau \sinh \tau+\cosh \tau \cos 2 \sigma
\end{array}\right) \tag{4.34}
\end{gather*}
$$




Figure 3: The Minkowskian two-soliton solution with $v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ at different global time (a) $t=0$, (b) $t=\pi / 4$.

However, the energy and angular momentum are singular for these solutions.

### 4.4 Two-soliton solutions

For the two-soliton solution $\alpha_{s s}$ in sinh-Gordon, the spinors are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}=e^{i \tau} \frac{i \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \sinh T+i v \sinh T}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} T-v^{2} \cosh ^{2} X}},  \tag{4.35}\\
& \phi_{2}=e^{i \tau} \frac{v \sinh X}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} T-v^{2} \cosh ^{2} X}},  \tag{4.36}\\
& \psi_{1}=\frac{\left(\sqrt{1-v^{2}} \cosh X+i \sinh T\right) \cosh \sigma-\sinh X \sinh \sigma}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} T-v^{2} \cosh ^{2} X}},  \tag{4.37}\\
& \psi_{2}=\frac{\left(-\sqrt{1-v^{2}} \cosh X+i \sinh T\right) \sinh \sigma+\sinh X \cosh \sigma}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} T-v^{2} \cosh ^{2} X}}, \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X=2 \gamma \sigma, T=2 v \gamma \tau$. The two-soliton string solution is ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}^{s s}=e^{-i \tau} \frac{v \operatorname{ch} T \operatorname{ch} \sigma+\operatorname{ch} X \operatorname{ch} \sigma-\sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{sh} X \operatorname{sh} \sigma+i \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{sh} T \operatorname{ch} \sigma}{\operatorname{chT+v\operatorname {ch}X}}  \tag{4.39}\\
& Z_{2}^{s s}=e^{-i \tau} \frac{v \operatorname{ch} T \operatorname{sh} \sigma+\operatorname{ch} X \operatorname{sh} \sigma-\sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{sh} X \operatorname{ch} \sigma+i \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{sh} T \operatorname{sh} \sigma}{\operatorname{ch} T+v \operatorname{ch} X} \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure ${ }^{3}$ shows the shape of the two-soliton string at two different global time instants. In figure 3 (a), the string is folded along the $x$ axis, whereas in figure 3 (b), we find the usual bulk spikes.

The two-soliton solution can also be analytically continued to the Euclidean worldsheet under the $\tau \rightarrow-i \tau$ change. Then $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{2}$ become imaginary and they effectively change place.

The two-antisoliton string solution can be constructed in the same way and it only differs from the two-soliton solution by three signs, the second and third terms in the numerator and the second term in the denominator which makes the solution singular.

[^1]For the soliton-antisoliton $\alpha_{s \bar{s}}$ solution, the result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}^{s \bar{s}}=e^{-i \tau} \frac{v \operatorname{sh} T \operatorname{ch} \sigma \pm \operatorname{sh} X \operatorname{ch} \sigma \mp \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{ch} X \operatorname{sh} \sigma+i \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{ch} T \operatorname{ch} \sigma}{\operatorname{sh} T \pm v \operatorname{sh} X}  \tag{4.41}\\
& Z_{2}^{s \bar{s}}=e^{-i \tau} \frac{v \operatorname{sh} T \operatorname{sh} \sigma \pm \operatorname{sh} X \operatorname{sh} \sigma \mp \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{ch} X \operatorname{ch} \sigma+i \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \operatorname{ch} T \operatorname{sh} \sigma}{\operatorname{sh} T \pm v \operatorname{sh} X} \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the above solutions are singular.
Finally, we take the breather solution of sinh-Gordon (3.6) and we solve the spinors from (2.19), (2.20) to find the string solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}^{B}=\frac{e^{-i \tau}}{\sin T_{B} \pm w \operatorname{sh} X_{B}}\left\{-w \sin T_{B} \operatorname{sh} \sigma \pm \operatorname{sh} X_{B} \operatorname{sh} \sigma\right.  \tag{4.43}\\
& \left.\mp \sqrt{1+w^{2}} \operatorname{ch} X_{B} \operatorname{ch} \sigma+i \sqrt{1+w^{2}} \cos T_{B} \operatorname{sh} \sigma\right\} \\
& Z_{2}^{B}=\frac{e^{-i \tau}}{\sin T_{B} \pm w \operatorname{sh} X_{B}}\left\{-w \sin T_{B} \operatorname{ch} \sigma \pm \operatorname{sh} X_{B} \operatorname{ch} \sigma\right.  \tag{4.44}\\
& \left.\mp \sqrt{1+w^{2}} \operatorname{ch} X_{B} \operatorname{sh} \sigma+i \sqrt{1+w^{2}} \cos T_{B} \operatorname{ch} \sigma\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{B}=2 \sigma / \sqrt{1+w^{2}}$ and $T_{B}=2 w \tau / \sqrt{1+w^{2}}$.

## 5. AdS dressing method

The dressing method allows the construction of solutions to nonlinear classically integrable equations. Many of the equations here are similar to [12] and the reader may look there for further details. Here we use the dressing method to construct new string theory solutions on $A d S_{3}$ for a Minkowskian worldsheet.

We recast the system (2.2), (2.3) into the form of a principal $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ chiral model for the matrix-valued field $g(z, \bar{z})$ that satisfies the equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} A+\partial \bar{A}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the currents $A$ and $\bar{A}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=i \partial g g^{-1}, \quad \bar{A}=i \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example we can consider the $A d S_{3}$ case and easily prove the equivalence of equations (5.1) to equations (2.2), (2.3) using the following $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ parametrization

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y_{-1}+i Y_{0} & Y_{1}+i Y_{2}  \tag{5.3}\\
Y_{1}-i Y_{2} & Y_{-1}-i Y_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

that satisfies

$$
g^{\dagger} M g=M, \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{5.4}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \operatorname{det} g=1
$$

The second order system (5.1) is equivalent to the first order system

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial \Psi=\frac{A \Psi}{1-\lambda}, \quad i \bar{\partial} \Psi=\frac{\bar{A} \Psi}{1+\lambda} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the auxiliary field $\Psi(z, \bar{z}, \lambda)$. The complex number $\lambda$ is called the spectral parameter.
In order to apply the dressing method we start with any known solution that we call the vacuum and we solve (5.5) to find $\Psi(\lambda)$ subject to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\lambda=0)=g . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we want $\Psi(\lambda)$ to be an $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$ element we further impose the unitarity constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\dagger}(\bar{\lambda}) M \Psi(\lambda)=M \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and demand that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \Psi(\lambda)=1 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we consider the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(\lambda)=\chi(\lambda) \Psi(\lambda) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and seek a $\chi(\lambda)$, the dressing factor, that depends on $z$ and $\bar{z}$ in such a way that $\Psi^{\prime}(\lambda)$ still satisfies (5.5). In that case $\Psi^{\prime}(\lambda=0)$ is a new solution to (5.1).

For the $A d S_{3}$ case we can take the dressing factor to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\lambda)=I+\frac{\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}}{\lambda-\lambda_{1}} P, \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is an arbitrary complex number and the projector $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{v_{1} v_{1}^{\dagger} M}{v_{1}^{\dagger} M v_{1}}, \quad v_{1}=\Psi\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) e, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e$ is an arbitrary vector with constant complex entries called the polarization vector. The projector $P$ does not depend on the length of the $e$ vector.

The determinant of $\chi(\lambda)$ is $\bar{\lambda}_{1} / \lambda_{1}$ and if we want our solution to sit in $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$ we should rescale $\chi(\lambda)$ by the compensating factor $\sqrt{\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{1}}$.

Putting everything together the new solution $g^{\prime}=\Psi^{\prime}(\lambda=0)$ to the system (2.2), (2.3) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\bar{\lambda}_{1}}}\left(I+\frac{\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}}{-\lambda_{1}} P\right) g . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1 Breather solution

Here we apply the above dressing method to dress the vacuum in order to find new string theory solutions in $A d S_{3}$. As a vacuum we choose the solution (4.2), (4.3). Using the $A d S_{3}$ parametrization (5.3) we find that the currents $A, \bar{A}$ are given by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i e^{2 i \tau}  \tag{5.13}\\
i e^{-2 i \tau} & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & i e^{2 i \tau} \\
i e^{-2 i \tau} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then a solution to the system (5.5) subject to the unitarity constraints yields

$$
\Psi(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \tau}\left(\cosh Z-\frac{i \lambda \sinh Z}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}}\right) & \frac{e^{i \tau} \sinh Z}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}}  \tag{5.14}\\
\frac{e^{-i \tau} \sinh Z}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}} & e^{-i \tau}\left(\cosh Z+\frac{i \lambda \sinh Z}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=z\left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{z}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution, that can be read off from the components of the matrix field $g^{\prime}=\chi g$ in terms of the polarization vector $e$ is rather complicated, so we present here the full solution in the case of $e=\binom{1}{i}$. The dressed solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{-1}+i Y_{0} & =e^{i \tau} \frac{N_{1}}{D}  \tag{5.16}\\
Y_{1}+i Y_{2} & =e^{i \tau} \frac{N_{2}}{D} \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1}= & \sqrt{1-\lambda_{1}^{2}} \cosh Z_{1}\left(\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \sqrt{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}} \cosh \bar{Z}_{1}(\sinh \sigma-i \cosh \sigma)\right.  \tag{5.18}\\
& \left.-\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-1\right) \sinh \bar{Z}_{1}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \cosh \sigma-i\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \sinh \sigma\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) \sinh Z_{1}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-1\right) \sinh \bar{Z}_{1}(i \cosh \sigma+\sinh \sigma)\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}} \cosh \bar{Z}_{1}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \cosh \sigma+i\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \sinh \sigma\right)\right) \\
N_{2}= & \sqrt{1-\lambda_{1}^{2}} \cosh Z_{1}\left(\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \sqrt{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}} \cosh \bar{Z}_{1}(\cosh \sigma-i \sinh \sigma)\right.  \tag{5.19}\\
& \left.+i\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-1\right) \sinh \bar{Z}_{1}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \cosh \sigma+i\left(\lambda_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \sinh \sigma\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) \sinh Z_{1}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-1\right) \sinh \bar{Z}_{1}(\cosh \sigma+i \sinh \sigma)\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}} \cosh \bar{Z}_{1}\left(i\left(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \cosh \sigma+\left(\lambda_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \sinh \sigma\right)\right) \\
D= & 2\left|\lambda_{1}\right|\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) \sqrt{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}} \cosh \bar{Z}_{1} \sinh Z_{1}-\sqrt{1-\lambda_{1}^{2}}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}-1\right) \cosh Z_{1} \sinh \bar{Z}_{1}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}=z\left(\frac{1+\lambda_{1}}{1-\lambda_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{z}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{5.21}\\
& \bar{Z}_{1}=z\left(\frac{1+\bar{\lambda}_{1}}{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{z}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\lambda}_{1}}{1+\bar{\lambda}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

This is precisely the same solution (4.44), 4.45) that we obtained in the previous section using the inverse scattering method as we can easily see by expressing the spectral parameter $\lambda_{1}$ in terms of center mass velocity $v_{1}$ and the frequency $w_{1}$ of the breather solution by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\frac{w_{1}-i v_{1}}{w_{1} v_{1}-i} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]
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## A. Conventions

Here we summarize the standard conventions for global $A d S_{3}$ that we have used in preparing the figures. We parameterize the $\operatorname{SU}(1,1)$ group element as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}=e^{i t} \sec \theta, \\
& Z_{2}=e^{i \phi} \tan \theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t$ is the global time, $\phi$ the azimuthal angle, and $\theta$ runs from 0 in the interior of the $A d S_{3}$ cylinder to $\pi / 2$ at the boundary of $A d S_{3}$. In terms of these quantities the parametric plots in the figures have Cartesian coordinates

$$
(x, y, z)=(\theta \cos \phi, \theta \sin \phi, t)
$$

and the boundary of $A d S_{3}$ is the cylinder $x^{2}+y^{2}=(\pi / 2)^{2}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ different solution generating technique based on Pohlmeyer-type reduction was employed for string solutions on $A d S_{3} \times S^{1}$ in 50.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We occasionally use the notation sh and ch for sinh and cosh to simplify otherwise lengthy formulas.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} Z_{1}, \bar{Z}_{1}$ should not to be confused with the embedding string coordinates in (2.21), (2.22).

